

A-G79 @B9CI G'H9LH'fl 97 : cfa ' - - L

PAGE 1 / 2

Dear Ms. Miller,

Below are the Committee's responses to two Requests for Additional Information we received from you, dated June 18, 2012, and June 25, 2012. Each numbered item responds to the same numbered request.

June 18, 2012 RFAI

1.All IEs in connection with this election were reported. However, for some reason, the software did not sum up IEs for Kucinich and against Kaptur in one sum total. Therefore, the IEs were reported, but the sum total understated the total IE expenditures in connection with the election. This software issue has been addressed with Nicole Miller (analyst), who instructed the Committee to have the electronic filing office help work with the software to make sure all IEs in connection with the Kucinich/Kaptur campaigns are tabulated together for one sum total. With this assistance, an amended report has been filed to reflect this.

2.The amendment reflecting the correct vendor (Shuman Group) was filed with the FEC on [date] as a Miscellaneous Submission. The Committee believed a Miscellaneous Submission would suffice for the amendment. The original February monthly report was not amended. In response to the RFAI, the Committee has incorporated the Miscellaneous Submission into an amended February report to reflect the \$15,950 attributed to The Schuman Group.

3.These were already explained in the Miscellaneous Submission dated March 12, 2012. We stated and filed the following: "CPA is reporting six independent expenditures today March 12th, made in connection with the March 6th Republican primary in the 2nd Congressional district of Ohio. CPA paid third-party vendors to perform services on its behalf and the vendors did not inform CPA's Treasurer of the public communications immediately upon dissemination. CPA has confirmed the amount of each independent expenditure on a public communication and is reporting them at this time." There's no further explanation beyond this.

4.See Response #3.

The Committee accurately reported all public communications that contained express advocacy and resulted in independent expenditures on its 48- and 24-hour reports and on its Schedule Es. Some expenditures were for IEs that would be made in the future, but prior to public dissemination those expenditures were reported on a previous month's Schedule B. This is what happened with the Schedule B expenditures addressed in the RFAI. The Committee included those expenditures

in future IE reporting when the expenditures resulted in public dissemination of public communications, but the Committee did not make negative entries on subsequent month Schedule Bs. The Committee has filed amended reports reflecting the appropriate negative entries on subsequent month Schedule Bs and shifting the expenditures on subsequent reports from Line 21 to 24. Also, as requested by RAD, the descriptions for previous month Schedule B entries have been amended to state "Prepaid Independent Expenditure." These descriptions are possible only in retrospect, because we now know that the expenditures actually became part of subsequent IEs.

June 25, 2012 RFAI

1.The April monthly report has been amended to reflect the previously addressed IEs that didn't aggregate correctly (see RFAI 6/18/12 Response No. 1). This was a software glitch and it has been corrected with the assistance of your office.

2.The following 24/48 Hour Reports were faxed to the FEC on March 11, 2012 because an error in the FEC File Electronic reporting system prevented electronic submission of our Committee's reports:

?Message & Media: \$600 (3/10)

?The Schuman Group: \$2,500 (3/9)

A-G7 9 @B9CI G'H9LH'fl 97 : cfa ' - - L

?The Schuman Group: \$2,500 (3/10)

?CMF: \$4,000 (3/9)

3.

a.CPA reported this IE in a timely manner but the FEC software did not captured it and carry it over to Schedule E, despite being reported as a 24/48-hour report. The FEC software typically captures each itemized expenditure automatically, and is designed to transfer the transaction from "All transactions" over to Schedule E. An amended report has been filed to reflect this as discussed with my analyst on 7/16/12. Neither the analyst or the Committee could explain the tabulation error, but the Committee worked with the electronic filing office to correct this software glitch.

b.The Schedule B transactions noted in this RFAI did not fund public communications containing express advocacy. All expenditures for public communications containing express advocacy were captured within the independent expenditure reports filed timely and appropriately.